REPORT: Healthy Halton Policy & Performance Board

DATE: 13th January 2009

REPORTING OFFICER: Strategic Director Corporate and Policy

SUBJECT: Healthy Halton Policy and Performance Board

Work Programme 2009/10

WARDS: Boroughwide

1.0 PURPOSE AND CONTENT OF REPORT

- 1.1 This report is the first step in developing a work programme of Topics for the Board to examine in 2009/10. While the Board ultimately determines its own Topics, suggestions for Topics to be considered may also come from a variety of other sources in addition to Members of the Board themselves, including members of the Council's Executive, other non-Executive Members, officers, the public, partner and other organisations, performance data and inspections.
- 1.2 The key tasks for Board Members are:
 - to suggest and gather Topic ideas on issues relevant to the Board's remit:
 - to develop and prioritise a shortlist of possible Topics for examination in 2009/10, bearing in mind the Council's agreed selection criteria (Annex 1):
 - to decide on a work programme of 2 or 3 Topics to be undertaken in the next municipal year.
- 1.3 A non-exhaustive list of initial Topic ideas is attached as Annex 2 to help prompt discussion. However, Members should not be inhibited from offering additional ideas of their own for discussion and prioritisation by the Board.

2.0 RECOMMENDED: that the Policy and Performance Board

- (1) Put forward and debate its initial suggestions for Topics to be included in the Board's 2009/10 work programme
- (2) Develop and informally consult on a shortlist of its own and others' 2009/10 Topic suggestions ahead of the Board's meeting on 13th January, 2009, bearing in mind the Council's Topic selection criteria
- (3) Decide at its March 10th 2009 meeting on a work programme of 2 or 3 Topics to be examined in 2009/10.

3.0 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Annex 1 – Topic selection checklist

Annex 2 - Initial list of possible Topic ideas for discussion

Annex 1OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME

Topic Selection Checklist

This checklist leads the user through a reasoning process to identify a) why a topic should be explored and b) whether it makes sense to examine it through the overview and scrutiny process. More "yeses" indicate a stronger case for selecting the Topic.

#	CRITERION	Yes/No	
<u>Why</u> ? Evidence for why a topic should be explored and included in the work programme			
1	Is the Topic directly aligned with and have significant implications for at least 1 of Halton's 5 strategic priorities & related objectives/PIs, and/or a key central government priority?		
2	Does the Topic address an identified need or issue?		
3	Is there a high level of public interest or concern about the Topic e.g. apparent from consultation, complaints or the local press		
4	Has the Topic been identified through performance monitoring e.g. Pls indicating an area of poor performance with scope for improvement?		
5	Has the Topic been raised as an issue requiring further examination through a review, inspection or assessment, or by the auditor?		
6	Is the Topic area likely to have a major impact on resources or be significantly affected by financial or other resource problems e.g. a pattern of major overspending or persisting staffing difficulties that could undermine performance?		
7	Has some recent development or change created a need to look at the Topic e.g. new government guidance/legislation, or new research findings?		
8	Would there be significant risks to the organisation and the community as a result of <u>not</u> examining this topic?		
<u>Whether</u> ? Reasons affecting whether it makes sense to examine an identified topic			
9	Scope for impact - Is the Topic something the Council can actually influence, directly or via its partners? Can we make a difference?		
10	Outcomes – Are there clear improvement outcomes (not specific answers) in mind from examining the Topic and are they likely to be achievable?		
11	Cost: benefit - are the benefits of working on the Topic likely to outweigh the costs, making investment of time & effort worthwhile?		

12	Are PPBs the best way to add value in this Topic area? Can they make a distinctive contribution?	
13	Does the organisation have the capacity to progress this Topic? (e.g. is it related to other review or work peaks that would place an unacceptable load on a particular officer or team?)	
14	Can PPBs contribute meaningfully given the time available?	

Annex 2

Initial List of Topic ideas:

- Employment opportunities for disabled people
- Disabled Facilities Grant